From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To: W. KARL RENNER	PCT
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. 1425 K STREET, N.W., 11TH FLOOR WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3500	NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION
	(PCT Rule 44.1)
	Date of mailing (day/month/year)
Applicant's or agent's file reference 06975-476WO1	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below
International application No. PCT/US05/08476	International filing date (day/month/year) 15 March 2005 (15.03.2005)
Applicant AMERICA ONLINE, INC;	
The applicant is hereby notified that the international sea have been established and are transmitted herewith.	arch report and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority
Filing of amendments and statement under Article 1! The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the cl	
When? The time limit for filing such amendments i search report.	is normally two months from the date of transmittal of the international
Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WIP 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Facsimile No.	
For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the	accompanying sheet.
· — · · ·	rch report will be established and that the declaration under the International Searching Authority are transmitted herewith.
3. With regard to the protest against payment of (an) add	litional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that:
the protest together with the decision thereon has be request to forward the texts of both the protest and	een transmitted to the International Bureau together with the applicant's
·	pplicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made.
4. Reminders	
Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publicat	te, the international application will be published by the International ion, a notice of withdrawal of the international application, or of the in Rules 90bis.1 and 90bis.3, respectively, before the completion of the
International Bureau. The International Bureau will send a cop	n the written opinion of the International Searching Authority to the py of such comments to all designated Offices unless an international I. These comments would also be made available to the public but not
examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the	of some designated Offices, a demand for international preliminary the entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority date thin 20 months from the priority date, perform the prescribed acts for
,	hs (or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19 months.
See the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the a Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet site.	pplicable time limits, Office by Office, see the PCT Applicant's Guide,
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US	Authorized officer
Commissioner for Patents	John Weiss XIMM
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Faccimile No. (571) 273-2301	Telephone No. 571-272-3600
Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201 Form PCT/ISA/220 (January 2004)	(See notes on accompanying sheet

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To: W. KARL RENNER	PCT
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. 1425 K STREET, N.W., 11TH FLOOR WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3500	NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION
	(PCT Rule 44.1)
	Date of mailing (day/month/year) 160CT 2006
Applicant's or agent's file reference 06975-476WO1	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below
International application No. PCT/US05/08476	International filing date (day/month/year) 15 March 2005 (15.03.2005)
Applicant AMERICA ONLINE, INC;	
The applicant is hereby notified that the international sear have been established and are transmitted herewith.	rch report and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority
Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19 The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the cla	
When? The time limit for filing such amendments is search report.	normally two months from the date of transmittal of the international
Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WIPO 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Facsimile No	
For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the a	ccompanying sheet.
	ch report will be established and that the declaration under the International Searching Authority are transmitted herewith.
3. With regard to the protest against payment of (an) addi	tional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that:
the protest together with the decision thereon has be request to forward the texts of both the protest and t	en transmitted to the International Bureau together with the applicant's he decision thereon to the designated Offices.
no decision has been made yet on the protest; the ap	plicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made.
Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication priority claim, must reach the International Bureau as provided in technical preparations for international publication.	e, the international application will be published by the International on, a notice of withdrawal of the international application, or of the Rules 90bis.1 and 90bis.3, respectively, before the completion of the
International Bureau. The International Bureau will send a copy	the written opinion of the International Searching Authority to the y of such comments to all designated Offices unless an international These comments would also be made available to the public but not
examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the	of some designated Offices, a demand for international preliminary centry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority date nin 20 months from the priority date, perform the prescribed acts for
	is (or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19 months.
See the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the ap Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet site.	pplicable time limits, Office by Office, see the PCT Applicant's Guide,
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US	Authorized officer
Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	John Weiss Simum Telephone No. 571-272-3600

Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201 Form PCT/ISA/220 (January 2004)

(See notes on accompanying sheet)

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 06975-476WO1	FOR FURTHER ACTION		form PCT/ISA/220 re applicable, item 5 below.
International application No. PCT/US05/08476	International filing date (day 15 March 2005 (15.03.2005)		(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year) 15 March 2004 (15.03.2004)
Applicant AMERICA ONLINE, INC;			
1. Basis of the Report a. With regard to the language, the international at a translation of the of a translation function by With regard to any nucleotide. 2. Certain claims were founded. 3. Unity of invention is lacking with regard to the title, the text is approved as submit	transmitted to the Internation of a total of sheets. by a copy of each prior art of international search was carried application in the language in the international application in the international application in the language of international a	nal Bureau. locument cited in d out on the basis which it was filed be enational search at disclosed in the	n this report. s of: l, which is the language
	according to Rule 38.2(b), by		s it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant report, submit comments to this Authority.
a. the figure of the drawings to be p as suggested by the a as selected by this A	applicant. uthority, because the applicant uthority, because this figure be	failed to sugges	-

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US05/08476

USPC: 705/1 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC B. FIELDS SEARCHED Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) U.S.: 705/1 Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extert that such documents are included in the fields searched Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No. X. US 6,549,937 B1 (Auerbach et al) 15 April 2003 (15.04.2003) all 1-23 ** Interdocument defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance ** See patient family sumex. ** Interdocument defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** **	A. CLAS	SIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER G06Q 99/00(2006.01)		
Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) U.S.: 765/1 Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No. X. US 6,549,937 B1 (Auerbach et al) 15 April 2003 (15.04.2003) all 1-23 **Special astegories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance and not in conflict with the application or patent published on or after the international filing date or promby date and not in conflict with the application or determine the casable the publication date of another critision or other special reason late specified) Odoument specified prompts of the critering to an oral disciouse, use, exhibition or other means the casable the publication date of another critision or other special reason late specified of document published prompts to the international filing date but later than the principle or discontinuous decuments in such as the comment in taken alone comments of particular retrievance, the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an invention extent to involve an invention extent to excurred the principle or discontinuous comments are an invention extent to advance the comment in taken alone document in factor and comments are an invention extent to such as a more discontinuous comments are an invention and an invention extent to such as a more discontinuous comments are an invention extent to advance and an oral discourance and an invention extent to advance and an oral discourance and an invention extent to advance and an			al classification and IPC	
Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) U.S.: 765/1 Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No. X. US 6,549,937 B1 (Auerbach et al) 15 April 2003 (15.04.2003) all 1-23 **Special astegories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance and not in conflict with the application or patent published on or after the international filing date or promby date and not in conflict with the application or determine the casable the publication date of another critision or other special reason late specified) Odoument specified prompts of the critering to an oral disciouse, use, exhibition or other means the casable the publication date of another critision or other special reason late specified of document published prompts to the international filing date but later than the principle or discontinuous decuments in such as the comment in taken alone comments of particular retrievance, the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an invention extent to involve an invention extent to excurred the principle or discontinuous comments are an invention extent to advance the comment in taken alone document in factor and comments are an invention extent to such as a more discontinuous comments are an invention and an invention extent to such as a more discontinuous comments are an invention extent to advance and an oral discourance and an invention extent to advance and an oral discourance and an invention extent to advance and an	B EIELI	DS SEARCHED		
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extert that such documents are included in the fields searched Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category * Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No. X			difference and below	
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category * Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No. X US 6,549,937 B1 (Auerbach et al) 15 April 2003 (15.04.2003) all 1-23 * Special categories of cuted documents: "A" document defaning the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance and the principle or theory underlying the invention attention of particular relevance or particular relevance or particular relevance or particular relevance or priority claim(s) or which is sided to establish the publication of particular relevance or priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication of particular relevance or particular relevance o			assincation symbols)	
Category * Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No. X US 6,549,937 B1 (Auerbach et al) 15 April 2003 (15.04.2003) all 1-23 Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. * Special categories of cited documents: "A" because the defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date and relevance "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is select to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed "A" document which ere priority claim(s) or which is select to establish the published on or after the internation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed "A" document which ere or more other such documents; such combination being obvious to a priority claim claimed "A" document member of the same patent family priority date claimed Date of the actual completion of the international search 18 September 2006 (18.09.2006)	Documentation	on searched other than minimum documentation to the exte	nt that such documents are included in	the fields searched
Category * Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No. X US 6,549,937 B1 (Auerbach et al) 15 April 2003 (15.04.2003) all 1-23 Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. * Special categories of cited documents: "A" Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention of document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance. "E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention of document of particular relevance, the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed. "A" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed. "A" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed. "A" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date declaimed. "A" document member of the same parent family.	Electronic da	ta base consulted during the international search (name of o	data base and, where practicable, search	ı terms used)
Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex. Special categories of cited documents: Special categories of cited documents and observation or priority date on cited to involve an invention cannot be considered to involve an invent	C. DOCI	JMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. * Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle of other purpose of enterory underlying the invention document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is shear alone document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is such combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document invention. Date of the actual completion of the international search report 16 OCT 2006	Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appro	priate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed Date of the actual completion of the international search 18 September 2006 (18.09.2006) International filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document member of the same patent family Date of mailing of the international search report 16 OCT 2006				1-23
Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed Date of the actual completion of the international search 18 September 2006 (18.09.2006) International filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document member of the same patent family Date of mailing of the international search report 16 OCT 2006				
Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed Date of the actual completion of the international search 18 September 2006 (18.09.2006) International filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document member of the same patent family Date of mailing of the international search report 16 OCT 2006				
Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed Date of the actual completion of the international search 18 September 2006 (18.09.2006) International filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document member of the same patent family Date of mailing of the international search report 16 OCT 2006			}	
Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed Date of the actual completion of the international search 18 September 2006 (18.09.2006) International filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document member of the same patent family Date of mailing of the international search report 16 OCT 2006			ļ	
Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed Date of the actual completion of the international search 18 September 2006 (18.09.2006) International filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document member of the same patent family Date of mailing of the international search report 16 OCT 2006	ļ			
Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed Date of the actual completion of the international search 18 September 2006 (18.09.2006) International filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document member of the same patent family Date of mailing of the international search report 16 OCT 2006				
Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed Date of the actual completion of the international search 18 September 2006 (18.09.2006) International filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document member of the same patent family Date of mailing of the international search report 16 OCT 2006			ļ	
Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed Date of the actual completion of the international search 18 September 2006 (18.09.2006) International filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document member of the same patent family Date of mailing of the international search report 16 OCT 2006	, <u> </u>		,	
Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed Date of the actual completion of the international search 18 September 2006 (18.09.2006) International filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document member of the same patent family Date of mailing of the international search report 16 OCT 2006			ļ	
Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed Date of the actual completion of the international search 18 September 2006 (18.09.2006) International filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document member of the same patent family Date of mailing of the international search report 16 OCT 2006	ļ			
Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed Date of the actual completion of the international search 18 September 2006 (18.09.2006) International filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document member of the same patent family Date of mailing of the international search report 16 OCT 2006				
document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" carlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed Date of the actual completion of the international search 18 September 2006 (18.09.2006) document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of another international filing date of the actual completion of the international search document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document member of the same patent family Date of mailing of the international search report 16 OCT 2006	Further	documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.	See patent family annex.	
document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed Date of the actual completion of the international search Date of mailing of the international search report 16 OCT 2006	• Sp	necial categories of cited documents: "T		
document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed Date of the actual completion of the international search Date of mailing of the international search report 16 OCT 2006				
"Y" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed Date of the actual completion of the international search 18 September 2006 (18.09.2006) "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document member of the same patent family Date of mailing of the international search report 16 OCT 2006	•	"X	considered novel or cannot be considered	
"" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed Date of the actual completion of the international search 18 September 2006 (18.09.2006) Date of mailing of the international search 16 OCT 2006	establish ti	he publication date of another citation or other special reason (as	document of particular relevance; the c	
Date of the actual completion of the international search 18 September 2006 (18.09.2006) Date of mailing of the international search report 1 6 0 C T 2006	"O" document	referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means		
18 September 2006 (18.09.2006) 16 OCT 2006			document member of the same patent f	amily
	Date of the ac	tual completion of the international search Da		report
Name and mailing address of the ISA/US A hAuthorized office,				
Mail Stop PCT Attn: ISA/IS		Stop PCT Attn: ISA/IS	O harrage	
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents John Weiss	Com	missioner for Patents	hn Weiss	
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Telephone No. 571-272-3600			lephone No. 571-272-3600	
Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201	Facsimile No.	(571) 273-3201		

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHOR	UTY	
To: W. KARL RENNER FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. 1425 K STREET, N.W., 11TH FLOOR WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3500		PCT WRITTEN OPINION OF THE TERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY
		(PCT Rule 43bis.1)
	(da	e of mailing y/month/year) 160CT 2006
Applicant's or agent's file reference	FO	R FURTHER ACTION
06975-476WOI		See paragraph 2 below
International application No.	nternational filing date (day/)	nonth/year) Priority date (day/month/year)
	5 March 2005 (15.03.2005)	15 March 2004 (15.03.2004)
International Patent Classification (IPC) or I	ooth national classification ar	d IPC
IPC: G06Q 99/00(2006.01) USPC: 705/1		
Applicant		
AMERICA ONLINE, INC;		
This opinion contains indications relation	ng to the following items:	•
Box No, I Basis of the op	pinion	
Box No. II Priority		
Box No. III Non-establishi	nent of opinion with regard to	o novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
Box No. IV Lack of unity of	of invention	
	ement under Rule 43 <i>bis.</i> 1(a)(i citations and explanations sup) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial porting such statement
Box No. VI Certain docum	ents cited	
Box No. VII Certain defects	s in the international applicati	on
	ations on the international ap	
Ed Box 140. VIII Collain observ	ations on the incinational ep	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.		
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.		
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.		
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US	Date of completion o	f this opinion Authorized officer
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents	18 September 2006 (100 012 010
P.O. Box 1450	16 September 2000 (Si Duwi C
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201		Telephone No. 571-272-3600

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (April 2005)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US05/08476

BOX IN	o. 1 Basis of this opinion
1. With	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	the international application in the language in which it was filed
	a translation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).
	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed tion, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
a.	type of material
	a sequence listing
	table(s) related to the sequence listing
b .	format of material
! 	on paper
: !	in electronic form
c.	time of filing/furnishing
	contained in the international application as filed.
	filed together with the international application in electronic form.
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
	Tambied subsequently to this requirerly for the purposes of securit.
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Addit	ional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US05/08476

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement Novelty (N) Claims NONE YES Claims 1-23 NO Inventive step (IS) Claims NONE YES Claims 1-23 NO Industrial applicability (IA) Claims 1-23 YES

2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1-23 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by US Patent 6,549,937 Auerbach et al. System and Method for Mulit-Proticol Communication in a Computer Network

Claims NONE

As to claims 1, 17 and 23 Auerbach teaches requesting network user information from one service provider to another (C. 21.61-67).

As to claims 2 and 18 Auerbach teaches the first provider identifying the user being requested (C. 51. 49-57).

As to claims 3 and 19 Auerbach teaches the users network ID being associated and identified with the first service provider (C. 61. 5-14).

As to claims 4-6 and 12-13 Auerbach teaches modifying the users webpage to identify changes that have been made to contact list or affinity contact list.(C. 7 l. 29-57)

As to claim 7 Auerbach teaches the user accessing the new contact lists added from the first service provider (C. 12 I. 40-47).

As to claim 8-9 Auerbach teaches modifying the users web page to identify the relationship between the contacts(C. 61. 35-40)

As to claim 10 Auerbach teaches logging into first service provider triggering logging into second service profider(C. 12 l. 65-67; C. 13 l. 1-4).

As to claim 11 web servers(Fig. 2)

As to claim 14 maintaining and linking users with different social networks(Fig. 5B)

As to claims 15-16 Auerbach teaches user requesting services form second service provider(C. 61, 14-28).

As to claim 20 Auerbach teaches determining the users relation to a social network(Fig. 5A Ref 208).

As to claim 21 Auerbach teaches a second contact list with second user contact list(Fig. 5B Ref. 222).

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220 (continued)

The letter must indicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended. It must, in particular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

- (i) the claim is unchanged;
- (ii) the claim is cancelled;
- (iii) the claim is new;
- (iv) the claim replaces one or more claims as filed;
- (v) the claim is the result of the division of a claim as filed.

The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying letter:

- [Where originally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]:
 "Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers;
 claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added."
- [Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11]: "Claims 1 to 15 replaced by amended claims 1 to 11."
- 3. [Where originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in adding new claims]:
 - "Claims 1 to 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added." or "Claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged."
- 4. [Where various kinds of amendments are made]:
 "Claims 1-10 unchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amended claim 14; claim 17 subdivided into amended claims 15, 16 and 17; new claims 20 and 21 added."

"Statement under Article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under Article 19(1)).

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

It must be in the language in which the international application is to be published.

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confused with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended. It must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filed

If, at the time of filing any amendments and any accompanying statement, under Article 19, a demand for international preliminary examination has already been submitted, the applicant must preferably, at the time of filing the amendments (and any statement) with the International Bureau, also file with the International Preliminary Examining Authority a copy of such amendments (and of any statement) and, where required, a translation of such amendments for the procedure before that Authority (see Rules 55.3(a) and 62.2, first sentence). For further information, see the Notes to the demand form (PCT/IPEA/401).

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, the written opinion of the International Searching Authority will, except in certain cases where the International Preliminary Examining Authority did not act as International Searching Authority and where it has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b), be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority. If a demand is made, the applicant may submit to the International Preliminary Examining Authority a reply to the written opinion together, where appropriate, with amendments before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later (Rule 43bis.1(c)).

Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that, upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated/elected Office, see the PCT Applicant's Guide, Volume II.